

---

**APPEALS BOARD  
UTAH LABOR COMMISSION**

**RONALD P. SEELY,**

**Petitioner,**

**vs.**

**NFT TRANSPORTATION and  
BANKERS STANDARD INSURANCE,**

**Respondents.**

**ORDER DENYING REQUEST  
FOR RECONSIDERATION**

**Case No. 04-0398**

---

In its previous decision, the Appeals Board of the Utah Labor Commission affirmed Judge Sessions' award of workers' compensation disability benefits, including medical benefits, to Ronald P. Seely. Mr. Seely has now filed a request for reconsideration in which he asks the Appeals Board to order respondents NFT Transportation and its insurance carrier, Bankers Standard Insurance, (referred to jointly as "NFT" hereafter) to pay various specific medical expenses incurred by Mr. Seely.

The Appeals Board notes that NFT has already been ordered to pay "all medical expenses reasonably related to Ronald Seely's industrial accident of March 6, 1996 . . . ." (See paragraph four of Judge Sessions' order, subsequently affirmed by the Appeals Board's decision of May 28, 2009.) By virtue of the foregoing order, Mr. Seely is entitled to present an abstract of award to the Adjudication Division. Upon an ALJ's execution of the abstract, Mr. Seely can then proceed to collect his medical benefits through the enforcement authority of the district court. Alternatively, if NFT denies payment on the grounds the medical treatment in question was not necessary to treat Mr. Seely's work-related injuries; Mr. Seely may file an application with the Adjudication Division to obtain a determination on that issue.

**[Intentionally Left Blank]**

**ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION  
RONALD P. SEELY  
PAGE 2 OF 2**

In light of the foregoing, the Appeals Board declines to take further action in this matter and, therefore, denies Mr. Seely's request for reconsideration. It is so ordered.

Dated this 21<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2009.

---

Colleen Colton, Chair

---

Patricia S. Drawe

---

Joseph E. Hatch

**NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any party may appeal this Order to the Utah Court of Appeals by filing a Petition For Review with that Court within 30 days of the date of this Order.